

(19) World Intellectual Property Organization  
International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date  
25 April 2002 (25.04.2002)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number  
**WO 02/33902 A1**

- (51) International Patent Classification<sup>7</sup>: **H04L 12/28**
- (21) International Application Number: PCT/EP01/12332
- (22) International Filing Date: 18 October 2001 (18.10.2001)
- (25) Filing Language: English
- (26) Publication Language: English
- (30) Priority Data:  
00402901.3 19 October 2000 (19.10.2000) EP
- (71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): **THOMSON LICENSING SA** [FR/FR]; 46, quai Alphonse Le Gallo, F-92100 Boulogne-Billancourt (FR).

[DE/DE]; Schaumannweg 22, 30659 Hannover (DE). **BICHOT, Guillaume** [FR/FR]; 26, rue de Mont Muran, F-35630 La Chapelle Chaussee (FR). **BURDIN, Nicolas** [FR/FR]; 4, square Armand de la Rouerie, F-35700 Rennes (FR). **PERROT, Sébastien** [FR/FR]; 37, rue Lafond, F-35700 Rennes (FR).

(74) Agent: **KOHRs, Martin**; Thomson Multimedia, 46, quai Alphonse Le Gallo, F-92648 Boulogne Cedex (FR).


(81) Designated States (national): AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EC, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NO, NZ, PH, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VN, YU, ZA, ZW.

- (72) Inventors; and
- (75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): **STRAUB, Gilles** [FR/FR]; Grébusson, F-35690 Acigné (FR). **VINCENT, Christophe** [FR/FR]; 7, rue des Landes, F-35490 Gahard (FR). **BURKLIN, Helmut** [DE/FR]; 15, rue Bigot de Préameneu, F-35000 Rennes (FR). **GAEDKE, Klaus**

(84) Designated States (regional): ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE, TR), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF,

[Continued on next page]

(54) Title: METHOD FOR CONNECTING AN IEEE1394 REMOTE DEVICE TO A CLUSTER OF IEEE1394 DEVICES THROUGH A WIRELESS LINK



(57) Abstract: Method for connecting an IEEE1394 remote device (1) to a cluster of IEEE1394 devices through a wireless link (3) comprising a first wireless device (WBox1) connected to the cluster and a second wireless device (WBox2) connected to the remote device (1), wherein the remote device and the first wireless device form a first wired bus and the device cluster and the second wireless device form a second wired bus. The method comprises the steps of, representing the remote device (1) on the cluster through the first wireless device (WBox1) and representing the devices of the cluster to the remote device (1) through the second wireless device (WBox2), such that the remote device and the devices of the cluster function as if these devices were part of a single IEEE1394 bus.



CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

**Declarations under Rule 4.17:**

- as to applicant's entitlement to apply for and be granted a patent (Rule 4.17(ii)) for the following designations AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EC, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NO, NZ, PH, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, UZ, VN, YU, ZA, ZW, ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE, TR), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG)
- as to the applicant's entitlement to claim the priority of the earlier application (Rule 4.17(iii)) for all designations
- as to the applicant's entitlement to claim the priority of the earlier application (Rule 4.17(iii)) for the following designation US
- as to the applicant's entitlement to claim the priority of the earlier application (Rule 4.17(iii)) for the following designation US

- as to the applicant's entitlement to claim the priority of the earlier application (Rule 4.17(iii)) for the following designation US
- as to the applicant's entitlement to claim the priority of the earlier application (Rule 4.17(iii)) for the following designation US
- as to the applicant's entitlement to claim the priority of the earlier application (Rule 4.17(iii)) for the following designation US
- as to the applicant's entitlement to claim the priority of the earlier application (Rule 4.17(iii)) for the following designation US
- as to the applicant's entitlement to claim the priority of the earlier application (Rule 4.17(iii)) for the following designation US
- of inventorship (Rule 4.17(iv)) for US only

**Published:**

- with international search report
- before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of receipt of amendments

For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.

## METHOD FOR CONNECTING AN IEEE1394 REMOTE DEVICE TO A CLUSTER OF IEEE1394 DEVICES THROUGH A WIRELESS LINK

The document 'Broadband Radio Access Networks (BRAN);  
5 HIPERLAN Type 2; Packet based convergence layer; Part 3: IEEE 1394  
Service Specific Convergence Sublayer (SSCS)' defines a sublayer emulating  
the IEEE 1394 link layer over a ETSI BRAN Hiperlan 2 wireless network. As  
such, it may be present in bridge devices between wired 1394 busses, or in  
standalone wireless devices. When two busses are connected through a bridge,  
10 these two busses are still considered distinctly from the point of view of the  
IEEE 1394 standard. Moreover, since the sublayer has to be present in a  
standalone device, standard 1394 devices first have to be modified in order to  
be linked to a network through a wireless link.

Thus, the addition of a wireless link to a IEEE 1394 network results in  
15 the creation of a network of several busses that can be distinguished from each  
other by different bus identifiers ('bus\_ids'). The interconnection of the different  
busses (with different bus\_ids) involves an IEEE 1394 bridge, which is currently  
under definition by the IEEE P1394.1 working group. Because of the use of  
different bus\_ids, an application operating on bridges has to be bridge aware.

20

The inventors have recognized that it is not currently possible to  
easily add a standard IEEE 1394 device to the network using a wireless link in  
such a way that, seen from the point of view of the devices on the network, only  
one bus exists, and thus that non bridge aware 1394 device may use the  
25 wireless link.

Accordingly, an object of the invention is a method for connecting an  
IEEE1394 remote device to a cluster of IEEE1394 devices through a wireless  
link comprising a first wireless device connected to the cluster and a second  
wireless device connected to the remote device, wherein the remote device and  
30 the first wireless device form a first wired bus and the device cluster and the  
second wireless device form a second wired bus, characterized in that it  
comprises the steps of:

representing the remote device on the cluster through the first  
wireless device and

35 representing the devices of the cluster to the remote device through  
the second wireless device, such that the remote device and the devices of the  
cluster function as if these devices were part of a single IEEE1394 bus.

Other characteristics and advantages will appear through the description of an embodiment of the invention, described in reference to the enclosed drawings, among which:

- 5           - figure 1 schematically represents a wired bus to which a device is linked through a wireless link;
- figure 2 is a diagram of the protocol stacks in the devices of figure 1;
- figure 3 is a diagram illustrating the exchanges between the  
10 different protocol layers of the devices involved in a request-response exchange;
- figure 4 is a diagram representing the relation between the split timeout and the time of life.

15           The present example is based on the IEEE 1394-1995 standard for a serial cable bus, and on the ETSI BRAN Hiperlan 2 project for wireless communication. For the latter, reference is made to the document cited in the introduction: 'Broadband Radio Access Networks (BRAN); HIPERLAN Type 2; Packet based convergence layer; Part 3: IEEE 1394 Service Specific  
20 Convergence Sublayer (SSCS)' v 1.1.1. of September 2000. This document addresses the transport of IEEE 1394 traffic between Hiperlan 2 devices.

Figure 1 represents an example of a network in which an IEEE1394 device 1 is connected to an IEEE1394 wired bus 2 through a wireless  
25 connection 3. The wireless connection is formed by two devices 4 and 5, respectively labelled "WBox1" for the device connected to the wired bus 2 and "WBox2" for the device connected to the 1394 device 1. Typically, standalone device 1 may be a consumer electronics device such as a television receiver or satellite or cable decoder. Two further devices, 6 and 7, are connected to the  
30 bus in a known fashion. The devices 6, 7 and WBox1 form what will be called a 'cluster' in the rest of the description.

Figure 2 illustrates the protocol stacks in the devices "WBox1" and "WBox2". WBox1 communicates with bus 2 using the IEEE 1394 protocol stack,  
35 i.e. the physical layer, the link layer and the transaction layer. The same is true for WBox2 and the device 1. The connection between these two devices can thus also be called a wired bus (referenced 8 in figure 1). Some software layers

of the devices WBox1 and WBox2 differ from the known IEEE 1394 stack in a manner described in more detail later. Lastly, WBox1 and WBox2 communicate using the Hiperlan 2 protocol stack as defined in the document ETSI BRAN IEEE 1394 SSCS document mentioned earlier. According to a variant  
5 embodiment, the devices WBox1 and WBox2 communicate using simplified HIPERLAN 2 protocols (neither a full Radio Link Control (RLC) layer support nor a full 1394 SSCS support is required, since WBox1 and WBox2 communicate in a point to point link and not in a network).

10 WBox1 and WBox2 have to behave in such a way that device 1 sees the bus 2 as if it were connected to it through a known IEEE 1394 interface, while devices on the bus 2 see device 1 in exactly the same way. Devices 1, 6 and 7 are not aware that they communicate through a wireless link.

The invention mainly impacts the physical layer, the link layer and the  
15 transaction layer of the implicated devices.

#### I] Physical Layer

According to the IEEE 1394 standard, each device receives a node  
20 identifier (comprising a bus identifier on 10 bits and a physical address on 6 bits) during the initialization process of the IEEE 1394 bus. During this process, a root node is elected among the connected devices. The root node launches a self-identification process, in which each device, in turn when authorized to do so by its parent device starting with the root node, sends what is called a self  
25 identification packet on the bus. The physical identifier of a node is simply the number of self identification packets a node receives before it is given the opportunity to send its own self identification packet. The root node always receives the highest physical address, i.e. the address which is attributed last.

30 In the present embodiment, the node identifiers on both busses 2 and 8 have to be managed in such a way that the devices see themselves as connected to a single bus. Moreover, bus resets have to be managed across the wireless link.

#### (a) Node identification procedure

35

From the point of view of the device WBox1 connected to the cluster, the physical layer on the cluster side is not different from that defined by the 1394 standard. During the initialization process, the device WBox1 participates in the self identification process and obtains a node identifier. This node identifier will consequently be used to designate the remote device 1, as far as devices on the cluster are concerned. WBox1 sends the list of node identifiers received from the other nodes as well as its own node identifier to WBox2.

WBox2 will try to become the root on the remote bus 8, in order to be able to generate the synchronized clock on that bus and to control the self-identification process. This is achieved by using the 'Force Root Flag' described at section 4.1.1.1 'Set Force Root' of the IEEE 1394 standard.

The device WBox2 has received the list of node identifiers from the device WBox1, as well as the node identifier of WBox1 before initiating the bus reset. Instead of launching a self identification process on the remote bus, WBox2 – as the root node - sends as many self identification packets to the remote device 1 as it has received node identifiers from WBox1. The node identifier of WBox1 is not counted, since it is used to represent the remote device itself. For the bus reset, the WBox2 device does not require to obtain information such as the speed capability or number of ports of the devices of the cluster. Only their self identifiers are needed.

On the remote bus, identifier '0' is attributed to the remote device 1, and subsequent node identifiers (i.e. '1' to 'x' where x is the number of devices on the cluster) are attributed to WBox2. All asynchronous traffic on the remote bus addressed to one of the nodes '1' to 'x' has to be acknowledged by the IEEE1394 link layer of WBox2. As the link layer is hardware coded this might be easier to implement than a complex routing function. The node identifier translation required by this scheme is described in more detail later on.

#### (b) Bus resets

Bus resets may occur either on the cluster or on the remote bus. When a reset is generated on the cluster, WBox1 forwards it to WBox2, which in turn generates a reset on the remote bus. When a reset is generated on the remote bus, Wbox2 forwards it to Wbox1, which in turn generates a reset on the cluster.

According to a variant embodiment, when several resets occur at short intervals on the cluster (i.e. before WBox1 obtains a data slot grant from the central controller of the wireless network for transmitting the reset), only the last reset received before the data slot grant is transmitted to WBox2. The preceding resets are meaningless.

According to the present embodiment, a reset message from one wireless box to the other shall be acknowledged, once the reset has been carried out. This acknowledgment is made necessary by the fact that the wireless medium is not as reliable as the cable medium. According to the IEEE1394 standard, no acknowledgment of receipt is required for a bus reset on a wired bus.

The SSCS document mentioned above also describes a 'bus reset service' at section 6.4 of the IEEE 1394, with an acknowledgement of receipt. But this process concerns only the transmission of a reset originating on a wireless device to reset the wireless bus, not the transmission of a reset generated on one of the wired busses linked over the wireless network.

Moreover, user data traffic (asynchronous packets) is serialized with bus reset messages, meaning that all user data traffic (asynchronous packets) arriving – through the wireless connection - at a wireless box between the sending of the reset by this wireless box (WBox1, resp. WBox2) and the reception of the acknowledgment of receipt from the peer wireless box (WBox2, resp. WBox1) is simply discarded.

In other words, when a wireless box transmits a bus reset to its peer, all asynchronous data it receives is discarded, because it was sent by the peer wireless box before the reset was processed.

## II] Data Link Layer

30

The behavior at the level of the IEEE 1394 data link layer is the following.

At the level of the wireless device connected to the cluster, the only modification of the standard IEEE1394 Link layer of WBox1 is that no unified transactions, as defined by the IEEE 1394 standard, are allowed. This is due to the fact that the transmission time over the wireless network is incompatible

with the constraints imposed on unified transactions. According to IEEE 1394, a unified transaction consists in a request from one device and a response from a receiver device, in which the response is contained in the acknowledgment of the receiver device. Whether a transaction is unified or not (i.e. 'split') can be determined by the receiving node, depending on its capability to respond quickly to the request of the sending node. When a transaction is to be split, the responding node transmits an acknowledgment of receipt which informs the sending node that the transaction is 'pending', and that the response will be sent later on.

In the present case, all transactions which are addressed from the cluster to the remote bus or vice-versa are split.

When a node from the cluster sends a request to remote device 1 (i.e. using the node identifier of WBox1), this request is intercepted by WBox1, which responds with an acknowledgment of receipt to the sending device specifying that the transaction is pending. WBox1 then forwards the message to WBox2, which forwards it to the remote device. The remote device may respond by a unified or split transaction to WBox2, since the remote device is a standard IEEE 1394 device. Then the WBox2 device forwards the response to WBox1 which in turn forwards it to the node that has sent the request. If the remote device responds with a unified transaction (ack\_complete), the Wbox2 generates a response packet to WBox1, which is not the case when the transaction is split, until the true response is sent instead of the 'pending' acknowledgment.

WBox1 expects packets bearing its node identifier as their destination node address.

When a packet is sent to WBox1, its node identifier shall be replaced by the remote device node identifier which is 0 before the packet is forwarded to WBox2.

At the level of the wireless device WBox2 connected to the remote bus, the same steps apply: when receiving a packet from the remote device 1, WBox2 responds with an acknowledgment of receipt informing the remote device that the transaction is pending, and forwards the packet to WBox1 over the wireless connection, which in turn forwards it on the cluster.



WBox2 maintains a routing table, containing the node identifiers ('1' to 'x') of nodes on the cluster. Packets destined to these nodes and circulating on the remote bus are forwarded over the wireless connection.

There is no need for a routing table in WBox1, since only one device  
5 is connected to the remote bus 8.

Asynchronous packets sent over an IEEE 1394 bus contain the node identifiers of the source node and of the destination node. The asynchronous packet format used on an IEEE 1394 bus at the level of the link layer is  
10 described at section 6.2.2. of the IEEE 1394 standard. Since according to the present invention, the wireless devices represent the nodes on the busses, the node identifiers in the asynchronous packets need to be modified by the wireless devices before being transmitted, so that the source and destination addresses are adapted to those valid on the target bus. In particular, the  
15 translation is carried out in order to avoid any confusion between the node\_id '0' of the cluster and the node\_id '0' of the remote bus (i.e. the node\_id of the remote device). Thus the node\_id of the wireless device WBox1 is replaced by that of the remote device for packets coming from the cluster, and the node\_id '0' is replaced by that of WBox1 for packets coming from the remote bus. For  
20 other node\_ids, there is no translation.

According to the present embodiment, this translation is carried out as follows:

	<u>Packets originating from devices on cluster</u>	<u>Packets from remote device</u>
<u>Destination node identifier</u>	WBox1 changes the destination node identifier corresponding to that of Wbox1 to that of the remote device on the remote bus (i.e. '0' according to the present embodiment)	Destination node identifiers of all packets sent by the remote device to Wbox2 are translated to the corresponding destination node identifier on the cluster
<u>Source node identifier</u>	If the source node identifier is 0, WBox1 changes it to the node identifier of Wbox1	WBox2 changes the source node identifier (i.e. '0') to the node identifier of the peer wireless device (i.e. WBox1)

Table 1

The CRC values of the packets have to be recalculated accordingly.

### III] Transaction Layer

At this layer, the behavior of the two wireless devices is similar.

5

Each wireless box runs two instances of transaction layers (i.e. the first one running on the IEEE 1394 stack, the second one running on the Hiperlan 2 / 1394 SSCS stack). For each transaction started by a wireless device on one stack, a parallel transaction is started on the other stack, having same parameters (transaction label, transaction code, retry code and priority). Indeed, a transaction can be identified by its source address, destination address and transaction label. The transaction label, as defined by the section 6.2.4.3 of the IEEE 1394 standard, identifies uniquely an outstanding transaction for a given node.

15

Figure 3 is a message sequence chart (MSC) illustrating the messages between the transaction and link layers of a requesting node of the cluster, the wireless box WBox1, the wireless box WBox2 and the remote device 1. As can be seen on this figure, the remote device acknowledges the request to the WBox2 device with a 'pending' message, indicating the split nature of the transaction on the remote bus. Note that the 'pending' acknowledgment of the remote device does not give rise to any message over the wireless connection back to the requester. Only the transmission of the response triggers a message to the requester.

25

The process of figure 3 will now be described in more detail. First, the request subaction processing will be described, followed by the response subaction processing. For information, a subaction consists in a complete sequence of arbitration, request transmission and acknowledgment.

30

#### (a) Subaction request processing

When a wireless device receives a subaction request from an IEEE 1394 device on a 1394 bus, it generates an acknowledgment packet comprising an 'ack\_pending' code to indicate that the transaction will be a split transaction. If an error occurs during the transmission on the IEEE 1394 bus, then an appropriate error code (the same as defined below) is sent back by Wbox1 to

35

the requester. If an `ack_pending` is sent to the requester, then the wireless device WBox1 forwards the request subaction to the peer wireless device WBox2. For this purpose, WBox1 starts a transaction towards its peer, using the same parameters, except for the translated source and destination addresses. According to the present embodiment, the WBox1 device uses the  
5 'split timeout' process of IEEE 1394 to monitor the time it is going to wait for the response from WBox2

When a wireless device receives a request subaction from its peer  
10 over the wireless connection, it starts a transaction on the local bus to send the request subaction to its destination node. No address change is required at this level, since it has already been carried out by the peer wireless device.

If the transmission of the request subaction on the remote bus fails at the link layer level (i.e. the WBox2 device receives an acknowledgment of the  
15 type '`ack_data_error`' or '`ack_busy`'), the wireless device retries until the requester split timeout occurs. The requester split timeout is the split timeout of the initial node on the wired bus. This value is transferred during the transaction as defined below, using the '`time_of_life`' parameter.

For information, a '`ack_data_error`' is generated when the data field  
20 failed the CRC check, or the actual length of the payload did not match the length indicated in the header, and an '`ack_busy`' error is generated when a packet cannot be received because the transaction layer of the receiving node is busy, but might accept that packet on a retry.

If the transmission of the request subaction on the remote bus fails at  
25 the link layer level due to an '`ack_type_error`', which is generated when a field in the request packet header was set to an incorrect or unsupported value, or an invalid transaction was attempted, the wireless device WBox2 shall generate a response subaction packet including the '`resp_type_error`' code towards WBox1.

30

For more information on response and acknowledge codes, one may refer to the IEEE 1394 standard, sections 6.2.4.10 and 6.2.5.2.2 respectively.

#### (b) Subaction response processing

35

When a wireless device receives a response subaction from an IEEE 1394 device (either one of the cluster nodes, or the remote device) on an IEEE

1394 bus, it generates an acknowledgment packet comprising the 'ack\_complete' code (signifying that the IEEE 1394 device has successfully accepted the packet). If an error occurred during transmission, then another appropriate code is used.

5

According to the embodiment, upon reception of the IEEE 1394 device response, the wireless device (whether WBox1 or WBox2) checks whether there is still a transaction pending for this response, by comparing the source and destination node identifiers and the transaction label with those it stored for sent requests. If the timeout has not yet occurred, the wireless device forwards the response subaction to its peer wireless device. Else, the response subaction is discarded, since the split timeout already occurred on the requester side.

10

When a wireless device receives a response subaction from a peer over the wireless connection, the receiving wireless device checks whether the transaction relating to this response subaction is still pending. If the timeout has not yet occurred for this transaction, the wireless device forwards the response subaction to the requesting device on its local bus, otherwise the packet is discarded. If the transmission between the wireless device and the requesting device fails at the link layer level, the wireless device retries until the split timeout occurs.

15

20

The differences between the transaction layers of the wireless devices WBox1 and WBox2 and the standard IEEE 1394 transaction layer will now be described.

25

The transaction layer running on the IEEE 1394 bus is the same as that specified by the IEEE 1394 standard.

30

The transaction layer of the wireless interface is the same as that specified by IEEE 1394, with the following amendments:

(1) Split timeout:

According to the IEEE 1394 standard, each transaction-capable node on the network possesses a register called 'SPLIT\_TIMEOUT' register, which defines the maximum time-out value before the sending/requesting node

35

detects a split-transaction error. After this time, a requesting node terminates the transaction. By default, this time-out is 100ms.

For a requester the timeout period commences when an ack\_pending is received in response to a request subaction. A responder starts the timeout period when an ack\_pending is transmitted.

According to the BRAN 1394 SSCS document a 'time\_of\_life' parameter is defined, which the layer above the SSCS layer uses to communicate to the SSCS layer the time interval during which an asynchronous packet is allowed to survive on the wireless connection.

According to the present embodiment, when a wireless device starts a split timeout for a request coming from its peer wireless device, the timeout interval used by the transaction layer of the wireless device when communicating the request on its bus is not the interval contained in its 'SPLIT\_TIMEOUT' register, but the time interval remaining when considering the time\_of\_life parameter derived from the request which was sent over the wireless connection and received from the SSCS stack/Hiperlan 2 transaction layers.

Figure 4 represents the relation between the split timeout and the time of life values. On the 1394 bus of the requester, the time-out value comes from the SPLIT\_TIMEOUT register and is fixed to  $T_{ST}$ . On the wireless link, the timeout value is the time\_of\_life as defined in the SSCS. On the 1394 bus of the responder the timeout value is the remaining time when considering the time\_of\_life parameter which is derived from the message sent over the wireless link.

## (2) Source node identifiers in transactions

The transaction layer of the wireless device has to process transactions with source address fields containing node identifier values other than the wireless device's own node identifier, e.g. when it forwards on its wired bus transactions from the wireless connection.

Lastly, the processing of bus reset signals according to the invention at this level will be described.

A transaction is defined between registers contained in the transaction layers of the wireless devices that are above the SSCS. This new register, called BUS\_RESET register, contains the self\_ID information of the cluster linked to the peer wireless box. It is used to initiate a bus reset and to  
5 transmit required information.

When a bus reset is detected by the Wbox1 on its cluster, Wbox1 sends a write request (reset message) on the BUS\_RESET register of Wbox2, once the reset on the cluster is done. The write request contains the self\_ID information of the cluster (the cluster also includes Wbox1). Wbox2 then  
10 performs a bus reset on its bus.

When the bus reset is performed on the remote bus, Wbox2 sends a write response (i.e. an acknowledgement of the reset message which contained the write request) to indicate that the bus reset is completed.

15 Note: this also applies for a bus reset detected on the remote bus.

When a wireless device receives a write request on its BUS\_RESET register subsequent to a detection of an IEEE 1394 bus reset by the peer wireless device, its behavior is as follows:

- 20 - it generates a bus reset on its wired bus, according to standard IEEE 1394 rules;
- it discards all asynchronous packets received from the wired bus and that were not transmitted over the wireless connection before the reception of the bus reset indicator;
- 25 - it sends to its peer wireless device asynchronous packets received from the wired bus after the wired bus reset.

When a wireless device detects a bus reset on its wired bus, it carries out the following steps:

- 30 - it discards all asynchronous packets received from the peer wireless device and not transmitted on the wired bus before the detection of the bus reset;
- it sends a write request on the BUS\_RESET register of its peer wireless device and waits for the write response of the peer wireless device;
- 35 - once the write response from the peer wireless device is received, transmission of asynchronous packets from the wireless connection to the wired bus is resumed.

According to the IEEE1394 standard, when a bus reset occurs, all pending asynchronous transactions and subactions are discarded. This is not a problem when the transaction is a request transaction, but when the transaction is a response transaction, then subsequent to the discarding, the responder may ignore that its message has been discarded. However, this may already happen on the serial bus due to the split timeout operation running on two different systems: a device on one system may respond after the timeout on the requesting device has already expired. In this case, an error recovery procedure is triggered to solve the problem.

#### IV] Control and Status Registers (CSRs)

According to the IEEE 1394 standard, each device on the 1394 bus possesses registers which other devices may access. The register architecture ('CSR' for Control and Status Registers) is defined by the document IEEE 1212-1994 and IEEE 1394-1995.

According to the present invention, the control and status registers (CSRs) of the device WBox1 and WBox2 are not visible to the IEEE 1394 devices. Any read, write or lock request from one of the IEEE 1394 devices on the cluster to a specific CSR of the remote device is immediately forwarded to the corresponding remote device CSR by the Wbox1. Likewise, if the remote device sends a request to a device on the cluster, the WBox2 intercepts and forwards this request.

#### V] Wireless device role election

It has been assumed in what precedes that each wireless device knows whether it is connected to the cluster or whether it is connected to the remote device. Since the wireless devices are in principle identical, a process for determining their respective role is required.

According to the present embodiment, each device in a pair of wireless devices contains an identifier known by the other device of the pair. Upon power on, a wireless device carries out the following steps:

- Detection of another wireless device:

If the wireless device acts as a Wireless Terminal (in the sense of BRAN Hiperlan 2), it shall try to associate to a Central Controller (also in the sense of BRAN Hiperlan 2) by scanning frequencies. If the wireless device acts  
5 as a Central Controller, it has just to find a free frequency, send a beacon at regular intervals and wait for a Wireless Terminal to try to associate.

- Reading the unique identifier in the read-only memory of the detected device (the 'EUI\_64' identifier).

- Verifying whether this identifier is that of its peer or not. If not, the  
10 Wireless Terminal disassociates and tries to find another Central Controller on another frequency.

According to a variant embodiment, the read-only memory of each device contains a directory which describes the device's characteristics. The  
15 location of this area is known by other devices, which may access it to determine whether the detected wireless device may be selected as a peer wireless device or not. In this case, pairing of devices as in the main embodiment above is not necessary.

20 Once two wireless devices have recognized each other, they exchange the self identification packets described earlier and relating to their respective IEEE 1394 wired busses.

Three cases are to be considered:

25

(1) A first wireless device is connected to more than one IEEE 1394 device, while the second wireless device is connected to only one IEEE 1394 device. In this case, the first wireless device acts as the device connected to the cluster and the second wireless device acts as the device connected to the  
30 remote device.

(2) Each wireless device is connected to a plurality of IEEE 1394 devices. The interaction fails.

35

(3) Both wireless devices are connected to only one IEEE 1394 device. An arbitration takes place in order to decide which of the two busses will be considered as the cluster. According to the present embodiment, the



wireless device having the smallest EUI-64 identifier is considered to be the device connected to the cluster.

5 VI] Isochronous transmission

The present embodiment concerns the processing of an MPEG2 Stream, using IEC 61883 in the 1394 busses.

10 Assuming IEC 61883 is used for isochronous data transmission, any operation in the Plug Control Registers (PCRs) that is detected and forwarded by the wireless boxes shall be processed to allocate resources on the wireless links: from a PCR lock\_request, the wireless box can know which 1394 channel is going to flow in which direction (oPCR versus iPCR). It can thus prepare its  
15 Data Link layer to flow the corresponding channel.

Note: there is no control on the wireless resource allocation themselves: if the wireless link becomes overloaded, then the isochronous stream will not be correctly transmitted over the wireless medium without any  
20 specific feedback in the 1394 stacks.

(a) A stream coming from the cluster

Two options are possible:

- IEC 61883 is terminated in every wireless device and an  
25 MPEG2 TS may be regenerated in each wireless device.
- A wireless device does not terminate IEC 61183, but just provides the buffers to ensure a constant delay for the transmission of CIP packets between both wireless devices. In addition, it restamps source packet header time stamps according to the overall delay.

30

(b) A stream coming from the remote device

Same as before.

## VII] Clock synchronization

In order to achieve correct operation of IEC 61883 time stamps, the  
5 two buses need to be synchronized : one bus has to be locked on the other.

The cycle master is running on any node of the cluster. WBox1  
generates the cycle clock towards WBox2 (using the clock synchronization  
service of HL2 1394 SSCS TS). Wbox2 uses the IEEE 1394 force root bit in the  
10 self\_id packets to ensure it becomes the root. It thus generates cycle start  
packets based on its locked clock (and not based on a free running 24.576 MHz  
clock).

## VIII] Isochronous Resource Manager (IRM) / Bus manager

15

### (a) Cycle Master

The global cycle master will be one of the cluster devices (except  
Wbox1). Nevertheless on the isolated device bus, WBox2 acts as a local cycle  
20 master (the WBox2 cycle clock being locked on the global cycle master cycle  
clock).

### (b) Isochronous Resource Manager (IRM)

At least one device (except Wbox1) connected to the cluster shall be  
25 IRM capable. Consequently, since the elected IRM is the one which has the  
highest node\_id, the remote device will never be the IRM (node\_id always equal  
to 0 according to the present embodiment).

### (c) Bus Manager

30

WBox2 shall prevent the remote device to write to the  
BUS\_MANAGER\_ID register of any other devices-, so that the remote device  
never becomes the bus manager. Wbox2 shall reject the lock request issued by  
the remote device (response\_code = resp\_address\_error) until a bus manager  
35 is pointed out within the cluster. However, according to the IEEE1394  
specification, since the bus manager challenger must request a lock until it

obtains a request Status COMPLETE and a Response code of resp\_complete, a Bus manager shall be chosen somewhere in the cluster.

5 If one (or more) cluster device is Bus manager capable then one cluster device will be elected as the bus manager. Thus, the node\_id of that bus manager device will be returned on the next lock request to the BUS\_MANAGER\_ID issued by the remote device.

10 If no device within the cluster is bus manager capable, then Wbox 1 will play the role of the Bus manager. The node\_id 1 (may be any between 1 and the number of devices connected to the cluster) will be returned on the next lock request to the BUS\_MANAGER\_ID issued by the remote device. Consequently, all operations attempted by the remote device relative to the bus manager will be intercepted by the Wbox 1 ('Read the Speed Map register' for instance). Of course, all operations attempted by any cluster device relative to  
15 the bus manager will not be forwarded to the remote device but will be processed by the Wbox1.

#### IX] Miscellaneous broadcast in / broadcast out

20 If some devices generate some broadcast out streams on the cluster, the isolated device may also establish a broadcast in connection to a similar channel on one of its iPCR. Wireless devices have to handle this.

25 According to the present embodiment, Wbox1 regularly polls iPCRs of the isolated device to see whether there is a broadcast in connection. If it finds one, then it shall access the oPCRs of the cluster devices to check whether there is one connected broadcast out oPCR for the same channel. If it finds one, then it shall configure its link layer as well as the wbox1 link layer so that the corresponding 1394 channel is transmitted over the wireless link.

30

#### X] Comments

35 Although the embodiment concerns the linking of a single remote device to a cluster of devices, the invention is not limited to this embodiment, and many aspects which have been described also apply when two clusters (each with several devices) are to be linked. In particular the following aspects are concerned:

- in case a plurality of resets are detected by a wireless box on its cluster, only one reset message is sent;

- a reset message sent from one wireless box to its peer is acknowledged once the reset has been carried out;

5       - the process of discarding asynchronous packets in case of a reset, as described;

- having the wireless boxes decide that all transactions they receive from their clusters are split transactions;

- avoiding transmission of 'ack\_pending' codes over the wireless link;

10       - the determination of the timeout interval by a wireless box when forwarding on its cluster a request receiver from the other cluster;

- the fact for a wireless box to make forwarding of a response from its cluster over the wireless link dependent on the timeout.

### Claims

1. Method for connecting an IEEE1394 remote device (1) to a cluster  
5 of IEEE1394 devices through a wireless link (3) comprising a first wireless  
device (WBox1) connected to the cluster and a second wireless device  
(WBox2) connected to the remote device (1), wherein the remote device and  
the first wireless device form a first wired bus and the device cluster and the  
second wireless device form a second wired bus, characterized in that it  
10 comprises the steps of:

representing the remote device (1) on the cluster through the first  
wireless device (WBox1) and

representing the devices of the cluster to the remote device (1)  
through the second wireless device (WBox2), such that the remote device and  
15 the devices of the cluster function as if these devices were part of a single  
IEEE1394 bus.

2. Method according to claim 1, further comprising the steps of, for a  
wireless device (WBox1, WBox2):

20 detecting a reset on their associated wired bus, and  
forwarding said reset to the peer wireless device (WBox2, WBox1).

3. Method according to claim 2, where the step of forwarding the  
reset comprises the step of:

25 carrying out a write request to a register of the peer wireless device,  
wherein the writing to the register triggers a reset procedure on the wired bus  
associated with the peer wireless device.

4. Method according to claim 3, wherein when the wireless device  
30 having detected the reset on its associated wired bus (2) is the first wireless  
device (WBox1), before forwarding the reset, the first wireless device  
participates in a self-identification process and forwards its resultant physical  
address and self identification data describing the cluster devices to the second  
wireless device.

35

5. Method according to claim 4, further comprising the step, for the  
second wireless device, upon reception of a reset and self identification data, of:

requesting root status,  
generating self identification packets corresponding to cluster  
devices towards the remote device (1), wherein the number of generated  
packets corresponds to the number of devices on the cluster, excluding said  
5 first wireless device.

6. Method according to claim 5, further comprising the step, for the  
second wireless device (WBox2) of:

attributing a predetermined node identifier to the remote device (1).  
10

7. Method according to one of the claims 2 to 6, further comprising  
the step, for a wireless device (WBox1, WBox2) of, upon detection of a plurality  
of resets on an associated bus, forwarding only the latest reset detected before  
the grant of a data slot on the wireless medium for forwarding a reset.

15 8. Method according to one of the claims 2 to 7, further comprising  
the step, for a wireless device (WBox1, WBox2) receiving a reset from the peer  
wireless device (WBox2, WBox1), of acknowledging receipt of the reset.

20 9. Method according to one of the claims 2 to 8, further comprising  
the steps, for a transaction layer of a wireless device detecting a reset received  
from its peer wireless device, of:

generating a bus reset on its associated bus,  
discarding asynchronous packets received from its associated bus  
25 and not transmitted to the peer device before reception of the reset,  
upon completion of the associated bus reset, acknowledging the  
reset to the peer wireless device.

30 10. Method according to one of the claims 2 to 9, further comprising  
the steps, for a transaction layer of a wireless device detecting a reset received  
on its associated bus, of:

discarding asynchronous packets received from its peer wireless  
device and not transmitted on its associated bus before detection of the  
associated bus reset,  
35 forwarding a reset message to the peer wireless device and waiting  
for an acknowledgment of reset from the peer wireless device,

resuming transmission of asynchronous packets to said peer wireless device.

11. Method according to one of the claims 1 to 10, further comprising  
5 the step, for a wireless device receiving a transaction request from a device on its associated bus, to decide the transaction to be a split transaction.

12. Method according to one of the claims 1 to 11, further comprising  
10 the step, for a wireless device receiving an IEEE 1394 'ack\_pending' code from a device on its associated bus not to forward said code to its peer wireless device.

13. Method according to one of the claims 1 to 12, further comprising  
the steps of:  
15 sending a request from a first device of one bus to a second device of the other bus,  
the wireless device of the bus of the second device setting a timeout interval for receiving a response from the second device, wherein the timeout interval is equal to the remaining time when considering a time-of-life parameter  
20 sent by the peer wireless device with the request.

14. Method according to claim 13, wherein the wireless device of the bus of the second device forwards a response from the second device to its peer wireless device only if the timeout interval for this response from the  
25 second device has not expired.

15. Method according to claim 6, wherein said first wireless device (WBox1) modifies source and destination addresses of asynchronous packets received from its associated bus as follows:  
30 if the source address is equal to the predetermined node identifier, replacing the source address by the node identifier of the first wireless device;  
if the destination address is equal to the node identifier of the first wireless device, replacing the source address by the predetermined node identifier.

16. Method according to claim 6 or 15, wherein said second wireless device (WBox2) modifies source and destination addresses of asynchronous packets received from the remote device (1) as follows:

5 replacing the source address by the node identifier of the first wireless device (WBox1);

if the destination address is equal to the node identifier of the first wireless device, replacing the destination address with the predetermined node identifier.

10 17. Method according to one of the claims 1 to 16, further comprising the step of having the second wireless device prevent the remote device from becoming bus manager.

15 18. Method according to claim 17, wherein the step of preventing the remote device from becoming bus manager comprises the step of preventing the remote device to write to the BUS\_MANAGER\_ID register.



1 / 4




Fig. 1




Fig. 2





Fig. 3

**Fig. 4**

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Inte Application No  
PCT/EP 01/12332A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER  
IPC 7 H04L12/28

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

## B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)  
IPC 7 H04L

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

EPO-Internal

## C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	"BROADBAND RADIO ACCESS NETWORKS (BRAN); HIPERLAN TYPE 2; PACKET BASED CONVERGENCE LAYER; PART 3: IEEE 1394 SERVICE SPECIFIC CONVERGENCE SUBLAYER (SSCS)" ETSI TS 101 493-3 V1.1.1, September 2000 (2000-09), pages 1-74, XP002190834	1, 2, 13, 14
A	cited in the application page 12-13, paragraphe 4.2 "Hiperlan 2 Bus" page 27-32, paragraphe 6. "Control Plane Services"	6
	---	
	-/--	

☒ Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.☒ Patent family members are listed in annex.

## \* Special categories of cited documents:

- \*A\* document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- \*E\* earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- \*L\* document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- \*O\* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- \*P\* document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

- \*T\* later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
- \*X\* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
- \*Y\* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.
- \*G\* document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

20 February 2002

Date of mailing of the international search report

07/03/2002

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2  
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk  
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,  
Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Barel, C

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Intel Application No

PCT/EP 01/12332

C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	WO 00 31911 A (THOMSON MULTIMEDIA SA ;STRAUB GILLES (FR); BUERKLIN HELMUT (FR); L) 2 June 2000 (2000-06-02) page 4, line 10 - line 28 page 5, line 32 - line 35 page 7, line 17 - line 35 page 9, line 1 - line 3 page 15, line 11 - line 36 figures 1,2 -----	1-6, 14, 15
P,X	WO 01 56226 A (THOMSON MULTIMEDIA SA ;STRAUB GILLES (FR); LEGALLAIS YVON (FR); VI) 2 August 2001 (2001-08-02) page 2, line 33 -page 3, line 32 page 8, line 13 - line 25 page 15, line 20 -page 16, line 26 figure 3 -----	1, 2, 13, 14

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

Inter I Application No

PCT/EP 01/12332

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date		Patent family member(s)		Publication date
WO 0031911	A	02-06-2000	FR	2786355 A1		26-05-2000
			AU	1278200 A		13-06-2000
			EP	1133851 A2		19-09-2001
			WO	0031911 A2		02-06-2000
WO 0156226	A	02-08-2001	AU	3169401 A		07-08-2001
			WO	0156226 A1		02-08-2001